## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/541,130      | MAK ET AL.   |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Jodi Cohen      | 1791         |  |

|   | Jodi Cohen  | 1791  |                            |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address -  | -                          |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO   | R ALLOWANCE.  |                            |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abandonm<br>t, or other evidence, which p<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a | places the<br>Request      |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.   |   |                            |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>).                                  | g date of the final rejection.<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED W                               | THIN TWO                   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.                               | of the fee. The appropriate extending set in the final Office action                      | ension fee<br>n; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the appe   |                            |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   | out prior to the date of filing a brief   | will not be entered because   |                            |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better  | isideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup><br>v);   | ΓE below);  |                            |
| appeal; and/or  | er form for appear by materially re-  | adding of simplifying the issi  | ues 101                    |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  | orresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.   |                            |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)  | 16 and 41.33(a)).   |   |                            |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-  | -324).                     |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   | 112, 1st paragraph rejection of cla   | <u>ims 1-28</u> .   |                            |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   |   | -                          |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | l be entered and an explana   | ition of                   |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16, 18-30,32</u> .  |   |   |                            |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   | , bafana an an tha data of filing a Ni  | ation of Americal will mat be as  | . 4 - 4 - 4                |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | sufficient reasons why the affidav  | it or other evidence is neces   | sary and                   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. So                                  | al and/or appellant fails to pr<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).                                 |                            |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   | •   |                            |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br>see attached.   |   | condition for allowance bed   | cause:                     |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |                            |
| /Steven P. Griffin/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791   |   |   |                            |
|   |   |   |                            |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Specifically the limitations requiring the premix to have a "viscosity that will permit as bubbles generated in the premix in step (b) to migrate" and requiring a maximum porosity of from 25 to 60% over a region corresponding to 20 to 80% along the cross-section of the product" raise issues of new matter. Furthermore, these limitations create a new combination of limitation for claim 1 and all of it's depending claim, which would require further search and consideration.